Applicant: Marius M. Dumitru et al. Attorney's Docket No.: 15609-028001 / 004P00045 US

Serial No.: 10/766,184 Filed: January 29, 2004

Page : 8 of 9

## REMARKS

In response to the non-final office action of June 28, 2006, applicants asks that all claims be allowed in view of the amendment to the claims and the following remarks.

Claims 1-19 are now pending, of which claims 1, 10, 12, 14, 16 and 18 are independent. Claims 1, 2, 6, 10-14 and 16-18 have been amended. Support for these amendments can be found in the application, for example, at page 6, lines 5-15. No new matter has been introduced.

Claims 1-13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. In response, independent claims 1, 10 and 12 have been amended to recite a status of an application and provide antecedent basis for application status information. For at least these reasons, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of independent claims 1, 10 and 12, along with their respective dependent claims 2-9, 11 and 13.

Claims 10, 11, and 14-19 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. In response, claims 10, 11, 16 and 17 have been amended to recite a computer-readable medium having embodied thereon a computer program including instructions that, when executed, process a request received from a network browser. Claims 14, 15, 18 and 19 also have been amended to address the rejection. For at least these reasons, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 10, 11, and 14-19.

It is believed that all of the pending issues have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this reply should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this reply, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

Applicant submits that all claims are in condition for allowance.

Applicant: Marius M. Dumitru et al.

Serial No.: 10/766,184 Filed : January 29, 2004 Page : 9 of 9

No fee is believed due. Please apply any other charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney's Docket No.: 15609-028001 / 004P00045 US

Date: September 15, 2006

Barbar A Bernt Barbara A. Benoit Reg. No. 54,777

Customer No.: 32864 Fish & Richardson P.C. 1425 K Street, N.W. 11th Floor Washington, DC 20005-3500

Telephone: (202) 783-5070 Facsimile: (202) 783-2331

40367405.doc